

LEVEL 2b SCREENING EXAMPLES



		6 Lane Scenario	8 Lane Scenario				10 Lane Scenario				12 Lane Scenario	
	No action	No main lane widening	3 main lanes + 1 main lane widening (each direction)		3 main lanes + 1 C/D lane widening (each direction)		3 main lanes + 2 main lane widening (each direction)		3 main lanes + 2 C/D lane widening (each direction)		3 main lanes + 3 main lane widening (each direction)	
			West	East	West	East	West	East			West	East
Emergency vehicle travel time	--	-	0	0	+	+	++	++	++	++	++	++
Transit ridership in the PEL study area	+	++	++	++	++	++	+	+	+	+	+	+

All Complementary Alternatives were evaluated as a group within each scenario. For the Primary Alternatives, each scenario includes interchange improvements and I-30 Arkansas River Bridge Replacement; however Main Lane Widening and C/D Roads were evaluated as either/or scenarios for 8 and 10 lanes due to their substantial differences in ROW requirements and ability to affect mobility.

Color Codes for Measures

Measure
Mobility
Safety
Cost
Environmental

Level 2 Qualitative Rating System

Rating	Meaning	Score
++	Substantial positive effects	2
+	Some positive effects	1
0	Neutral effects	0
-	Some negative effects	-1
--	Substanital negative effects	-2

